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**LDC Teaching Task Scoring Guide**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Work in Progress** | **Good to Go** | **Exemplar** |
| **Task Clarity & Coherence** | * Template type (writing mode) may be mis-matched to the intended purpose of the prompt (e.g., use of an Argumentation template when an Explanatory template would be a better fit; selecting a "definition" template when a "description" template would be appropriate) * Question/prompt may be posed in a way that biases students toward a particular response. * Question/prompt may be answerable without the use of text or instructional scaffolding (through Module), i.e., texts and instruction are dispensable. | * Template task is filled in correctly (in the correct mode-Argumentation, Explanatory, Narrative) without modification and task is worded clearly. * Prompt wording follows through on answering the essential question (if posed) and is aligned with content, texts, and student product (a "good fit"). * Question and prompt are unbiased and leave room for diverse responses. * Task is text dependent (hardwires the use of evidence of text in response). * Background creates a frame for teaching task. | ("Good to Go" characteristics and...)   * Teaching task is worded **precisely** to provide a **clear purpose for writing** and **unambiguous directions** to students. * Prompt, texts, content, and student product are **tightly aligned** (are close to a "perfect fit"). |
| **Content** | * May have a weak connection to or skim the surface of content central to the discipline * May address content/topic from a framework that is not relevant to the discipline (e.g., for a history task, focusing on a moral issue rather than an historical question.) * May oversimplify a topic, or may not require students to engage in analytic reading and thinking skills central to the discipline. | * Addresses substantive content central to the discipline, requires students to build strong content knowledge. * Engages students in a range of analytic reading and thinking skills, e.g., analysis, comparison, synthesis, evaluation, cause-effect, problem-solution. | ("Good to Go" characteristics and...)   * Addresses **"big ideas"** or **enduring understandings** central to the discipline. * Engages students in **complex, higher order thinking skills specific to the discipline.** * Task pattern has broad applicability for addressing particular CCSS. |
| **Text/s** | * May be loosely aligned or misaligned to the purpose of the task, e.g., use of literary fiction for a research task. * May not provide textual evidence for supporting a counterclaim or alternate view (especially for Argumentation tasks). * May allow for student selection of texts when assigning one common foundational text on a difficult/esoteric topic (e.g., existentialism) would be helpful for supporting literacy through instructional scaffolding. * May be overly difficult/demanding OR may be too easy (not requiring the use of literacy strategies) for the range of student ability. | * Is (are) intellectually challenging but accessible to all students. * Require students to apply literacy skills to comprehend and analyze content. * Are useful for providing content and evidence to be used in addressing the task. * Do not bias students toward a particular response (support competing views). | ("Good to Go" characteristics and...)   * Are engaging, tightly relevant (**indispensable**), and authentic. * Are **tightly aligned to the task purpose** * Represent **central modes of discourse in the discipline**. * Are **carefully selected/excerpted/ modified** **to provide appropriate text complexity (using either quantitative or qualitative measures)** for the range of student reading ability. |
| **Student Product** | * May be inappropriate to the rhetorical mode or content and challenge of the task (e.g., asking students to write a blog entry when a formal essay is more appropriate). | * Is aligned to rhetorical mode and appropriate for content and challenge of the task. * Provides sufficient opportunity for diverse students to demonstrate their achievement. | ("Good to Go" characteristics and...)   * Authentically engages students in **rhetorical modes and types of writing central to the discipline.** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Holistic Score for LDC Teaching Task** | | |
| **Rating (check one)** | | **Description** |
|  | **Exemplar** | Task is coherent, all components are *tightly* aligned. Has clear purpose and precise elements overall; addresses content (*including big ideas and enduring understandings*) *central to the discipline*; engages students in applying *higher order, complex thinking skills specific to the discipline*; carefully selects and customizes appropriate and relevant text(s); creates academic contexts for engaging in reading and writing skills and tasks aligned to CCSS. *Teaching task topic or issue is relevant to the discipline or course and has broad applicability.* |
|  | **Good to Go** | Task is coherent, all components are aligned. Has clear, specific and detailed elements overall; addresses relevant content (topic, theme, concept, issue, or idea); employs relevant text(s); creates academic contexts for engaging in reading and writing skills and tasks aligned to CCSS. |
|  | **Needs Revision** | See comments. |
|  | **Not scored** | Does not meet basic criteria of LDC Framework. |

**LDC Module Scoring Guide**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** |  | **Good to Go** | **Exemplar** |
| What Skills? | * Skills list may miss significant demands of the task, e.g., students' ability to select and evaluate appropriate texts for a research task | * Skills list is relevant to teaching task. * Skills are clustered and sequenced to support the teaching task. | ("Good to Go" characteristics and...)   * Task, **texts**, and skills list are **tightly aligned.** * Skills are clustered and sequenced to support **access to the texts** and completion of the teaching task product. |
| What Instruction? | * Mini-tasks may be rely on general engagement strategies (e.g., brainstorming, list-making) with little customization to the Teaching Task. * Mini-tasks and instructional strategies may be loosely connected to and may not provide scaffolding for completing the Teaching Task. | * Mini-tasks and scoring guides relate to skills list. * Instructional strategies support the mini-tasks and move students on the pathway to success on the teaching task. * Ladder is realistically paced. * Lists materials, references and supports students and teachers will need to complete the instruction. | * The skills, mini-tasks, and instructional strategies are coherent and **tightly aligned are well designed to support students to successfully complete teaching task**. * Mini-tasks are well placed to provide formative feedback and give evidence about student progress. * **Instructional strategies are sufficiently specified to be replicated (but not over-described).** * **Scoring guides for mini-tasks have clear scoring criteria tightly aligned to skills list.** * Supports, materials, and references students and teachers will need to complete the instruction are of high quality, relevant, aligned. |
| What Results? | * Optional classroom assessment may have loose connection to teaching task, or be unrealistic as an on-demand task | * Scored student work samples (2 samples per level if available) and annotated scoring rubrics are included (once the module is taught). * If included, the optional classroom assessment is connected to teaching task. | * If included, the optional classroom assessment **provides targeted information about student progress toward meeting one or more goals of the module; is realistic and do-able in on-demand setting.** |
| Teacher Work |  |  | * Module is annotated with sufficient detail so others can use it. Annotation helps make module clear and easy to use. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Holistic Score for LDC Module** | | |
| **Rating (check one)** | | **Description** |
|  | **Exemplar** | Module is highly coherent, strategic, and *tightly aligned* to the teaching task and appropriate in rigor to the course. Strong relevance to the discipline. Universal and broadly applicability. Is polished with attention to the needs of a wide educator audience. |
|  | **Good to Go** | Module is coherent and aligned. Supports teaching task with a well-planned instructional sequence in which mini-tasks lead to the final product’s completion. Provides sufficient detail so that others might use it. |
|  | **Needs Revision** | See comments. |
|  | **Not scored** | Does not meet criteria of LDC Framework. |